This is a TEMPORARY DOCUMENT Approved For Release 2001,0946 GIA-RDP84-00022R000200030007-3

The record copy has been released to National Archives under the HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM.

This document has been approved for release through the HISTORICAL PEVIDW PROCESS OF the Central Intelligence Agency.

Uate 70/10/11

(This to Borel)

Date 4 JAN 91

HRP 89-2

These seem to be the points that worry me about it:

- (1) This is a general discussion couched in general terms of a stillhypothetically
 nebulous plan as/applied to unspecified countries.
- (2) It purports to discuss obstacles that might arise if the "plan"
 were placed in operation, but these obstacles are are are placed in operation, but these obstacles are are are are based on generally conclusions about how certain types of people on generally react to given circumstances rather than analyses of specific groups to determine how they would react
- (3) Any of the obstacles could be inferred by anyone at all conversant with the world situation
- (4) The paper is thus not an intelligence estimate but a discussion based on general observation; as such it is paralleled by numerous periodical articles (notably that in the <u>Economist</u>) some of which are no less penetrating in their analysis.

I do not see how this can be done if the present approach is retained.

Actually, as the paper stands, you start with nothing (the program is still nothing more than challenging statements in an x inaugural address) and dis
Approved For Release 2001/09/03: CIA-RDP84-00022R000200030007-3

cusses nothing (no attempt has been made to define "underdeveloped" nor to specify areas of development in more than the most general possible way). I cannot help believing that this alone places the subject outside CIA's competence. This sort of advice, the President could get (and undoubtedly has got) anywhere. CIA, in short, has not added anything to general information; if CIA were diable to add anything to what is generally known and understands, there would be containly be no reason for CIA to ex-

These are some random examples of what happens when you approach an alleged intelligence analysis from thin the angle of general discussion and counsel:

(1) "There will be a natural impatience for concrete evidence of progress in the famous form of smaking chimneys, mechanically operated farms, etc." (This was apparently lifted from the Economist). "When these signs do not materialize overnight, certain officials will lose enthusiasm and succumb to the old inertia." (p.4)

Now, no particular countries have been specified as the target of THIX this statements, and absolutely no particular officials, have been added. When you exattee and the countries have been added. When you exattee and the countries have been specified as the target of THIX this statements, and absolutely no particular officials, have been added. When you exattee and the countries have been specified as the target of THIX this statements, and absolutely no particular officials, have been added. When you exattee and the countries have been specified as the target of THIX this statements, and absolutely no particular officials, have been added.

may be an place in the world including Mahhattan Island, what intelligence have you gathered on learning that "certain officials" may "lose enthusiasm" and succumb to the "old inertia"?

- Australia....is a clear indication of the type of request which might be made for Africa, Latin America, and the Middle East as well." ()

 This, of course, is a non-sequitur, but in any case, it is offered as part of an argument, that there would be competition between areas for aid. Such a statement, head, needs no argument; it is altogether too obvious even to require statement. If, on theother, a proposal had been made that we send a million dollars and a technician to Uruguay, and CIA knew that the/result would be bitter uncooperativeness on the Part of the Paraguayans, we should have made an intelligence contribution.
- (3) "Such reactions will vary widely from country to country but will be present to some degree in almost all of them."

This vague and cautious statement is made regarding the assertion that US

private
technical and/financial assistance will arouse fears among local nationalists,
and among local racketeers not wishing to have anyone muscle in and that the

local Communists will make what they can of the situation.

Approved For Release 2001/09/03: CIA-RDP84-00022R000200030007-3

Marie ...

No. of the last

(4) "Young and intensely nationalistic states will be jealous of foreign tutelage...." (and thus be less than cooperative when you patronize them with unsought assistance.)

This is similar to saying that birds, on attaining a certain age, will fly.

The growing nationalism in most underdeveloped countries has every-

where brought with it an increased distrust of foreign capital."

Note in the first place that you don't know what an "underdeveloped country" is or where one may be found, But in most of them, nationalism has brought distrust everywhere. All you've actually said is that when people become nationally self-conscious, they are particularly resentful of anything that implies that they are not just as good as anybody else and in fact a damnsight better.

(5) "Local nationalist and commercial opposition to direct foreign private investment will be far greater in some are as than to governmental or private loans."

This would be a more useful statement if you knew which area was which.

(6) "Moreover, in those countries with a high degree of political and economic instability, private capital will be exceedingly unwilling to invest."

This sounds like a resounding economic truth.

Approved For Release 2001/09/03: CIA-RDP84-00022R000200030007-3

These are the only conclusions I can come to:

- (1) You can publish it approximately as is. I don't think the world or CIA would come to an end if you did; I suspect the A encies would concur without comment in jigtime; I haven't the least idea that anybody from Admiral Hillenkoeter up and down would enter any complaint formal or informal.
- (2) You can postpone publication until take the Point IV program has taken shape; then recast the paper in accordance with the shape
- (3) You can adopt a new approach which might result in making $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ publishable as intelligence now. This approach would consist in:
- (a) Making assumptions about the nature of the actual program based on what is known of it now
- (b) Defining "underdeveloped area" and specifying the exact areas to be treated
- (c) Starting from these assumptions, indicating---in a general way if you like what would be the result if you applied (a) to (b)